In the Shadow of the World Trade Center Disaster: From Trauma to Recovery

By Sandra L. Bloom, MD

(Last October, ISF held its second annual family violence event at the Downtown Club where we honored Dr. Sandra Bloom for her work on trauma and recovery. Sandy spoke to a group of over 130 people on the “Aftermath of September 11th.” One year later, the following excerpt from her talk is as potent today. It reminds us of what is important and what we need to do to stay engaged and move forward with the healing process.)

Humans are incredibly resilient. Never write anyone off. Challenge them to move on. Don’t let death have the victory. Even if you feel scared, bewildered and hopeless, you have to offer hope to them. There is a powerful life force moving us forward – always. Provide company on the journey into the darkness, but always hold on to the rope that goes into the light or you will just get lost in there with them. Have your friends and colleagues holding on to the other end of the rope for you. If you are losing your own way, reach out to your colleagues. Help each other.

Every part of a person can be injured from a traumatic event. Traumatic experience often hurtsthe body and may put us into close touch with death and dying. This represents a loss of physical safety. So the body needs time to heal and the body needs protection. But it also impacts on our sense of competency in the world, on our sense of self. This represents a loss of psychological safety. So we need to restore a sense of mastery and competency in the world - even if the gestures we make are logically inconsistent or even absurd. Trauma damages our social self as well and at first increases our attachment to other people in the face of a true emergency, but then erodes our sense of trust as we come to terms with the origin of the violence, as other people fail us or let us down, as we become increasingly unsure about who represents the next source of danger. This represents a loss of social safety. So we must do what we can to connect to each other to provide refuge, home, even temporary places of rest, laughter, and relief in the face of chaos. And trauma robs us of our sense of fundamental security in the world. The world doesn’t make sense at all right now and we are compelled to question the most central aspects of our existence. This represents a loss of moral safety. Making meaning out of a traumatic experience is a central aspect of the reparative process and comes in as many forms as there are human beings.

Context matters. Just as physical wounds require the proper circumstances for natural healing to occur, so too do psychological wounds. Focus on creating safe, reassuring, supportive, hopeful, and inspiring contexts that promote healing. A context that promotes healing and recovery directly counteracts the effects of trauma and is a culture of belonging, empowerment, containment, communication, and involvement. It is a culture that provides physical safety, psychological safety, social safety, and moral safety.

The goal is to create a “trauma-sensitive culture” within which it is understood that most human behavioral pathology is related to overwhelming experiences of exposure to abusive power, disabling losses and disrupted attachment and therefore symptoms must be understood and responded to within the context of these dynamic forces. In a trauma-sensitive culture, the guiding question is not “what’s wrong with you?” but “what’s happened to you?” – it is the difference between looking at people as sick or bad psychological specimens and understanding that our work revolves around helping injured people get back on their literal and figurative “feet”.

Ultimately, trauma survivors need to find a “survivor mission”, something that transforms traumatic experience into something better than what was given. Encourage people’s helping inclinations in any way you can. Support their wish to do something, as long as the doing is not destructive. Socially, we must all eventually transform this experience if, as a society, we are not to stay stuck or regress. There are many forms of social transformation of trauma, including helping and rescuing, mutual self-help groups, education and prevention, political and social action, humor, and artistic creation. In the United States, New York is the capital of the arts. Use them, support them, bring the artists out of the concert halls, art galleries, and performance stages and into the streets.

Sandy closed her talk with the following story.

On Nov. 18, 1995, Itzhak Perlman, the violinist, came on stage to give a concert at Avery Fisher Hall at Lincoln Center in New York City. If you have ever been to a Perlman concert, you know that getting on stage is no small achievement for him. He was stricken with polio as a child, and so he has braces on both legs and walks with the aid of two crutches. To see him walk across the stage one step at a time, painfully and slowly, is an
What About the Men?

By Jeffrey Jaeger, MD

Over the years that representatives of ISF have been performing trainings for health care service providers throughout the Delaware Valley and elsewhere, one question has been raised again and again: "What about the men?" While a focus on women as victims and survivors is absolutely necessary and appropriate, it has always been evident to those involved in medical and other service delivery that a comprehensive approach to the problem of family and interpersonal violence (IPV) must address issues related to men. With that in mind, two years ago, a group of dedicated clinicians, advocates, social workers and others began meeting as the Clinical Network on Men and Violence. The group was originally convened as an effort to get clinicians from a wide variety of backgrounds to begin talking about how those in clinical medicine might approach men as perpetrators of domestic violence. After a few months of discussions, we realized that there had been little work in this field. We began to focus on developing a tool that practitioners might use to help them identify which men in their practices were involved in violent interpersonal relationships, with a goal of making an appropriate intervention and stopping the cycle of violence.

Originally, our intent was to help practitioners identify which of their patients might be perpetrators of IPV. We consulted with Anne Ganley, PhD, national expert on working with men as perpetrators in the health care setting, and with Sarah Buel, JD, a nationally known lawyer and advocate for survivors of domestic violence, in developing our tool. With their help, and through progressive discussions among the group, we came to realize that a simple approach to "men as perpetrators" was not going to be sufficient. It was recognized that a broader approach would be necessary. Our tool had to acknowledge that there are male victims of IPV as well as male perpetrators, and fairness demands that we screen for both situations if we are to screen for either. Also, our tool had to acknowledge men's different utilization of the health care system, and the effect that a disclosure of perpetration might have on the provider-patient relationship. We have also had discussions about the ethics of uncovering perpetration without necessarily having the skills to help a patient process and deal with this behavior, and without having enough social resources to which a patient might be referred.

After many drafts and much discussion, we have developed a screening tool based on the successful and widely known RADAR protocol that is appropriate for use with both men and women, and which assesses for both perpetration and victimization. If a perpetrator is identified, a script is provided which allows the provider to provide initial supportive counseling and assures the safety of the perpetrator's partner. The counseling strategy focuses on the behavior, not the person, and encourages the perpetrator that the behavior he or she has described may have serious consequences to his or her health and other qualities he or she might value (freedom, the safety of loved ones). We have recently completed a survey study in which the protocol has been distributed to 80 primary care doctors for their input and feedback. We are now working to hone and modify the tool. With the feedback we obtain from this survey study, we will use this modified tool in several clinical settings and is an effort to both determine the prevalence of male victims and perpetrators in clinical practice, and to begin the process of identification and appropriate referral of any and all of our patients involved in IPV. (Jeffrey Jaeger, MD and Darren Spielman, PhD will discuss this work at the National Conference on Health Care and Domestic Violence in Atlanta on September 27.)

Our lives begin to end the day we become silent about the things that matter.

Martin Luther King, Jr.